Nickel Allergies in Orthodontic Treatment

¹KM Shukoor, ²Fawas Shaj, ³NN Shabeer, ⁴Jayanth Jayarajan

ABSTRACT

Nickel (Ni) is a common component in many orthodontic materials. The dental practitioner should be mindful of this allergy during the course of orthodontic treatment, and know how to diagnose a Ni allergy if it appears and subsequent action in treatment and referral if it is suspected. This paper provides a summary of Ni allergy, its epidemiology, diagnosis, and recommendations, and alternatives to treatment. A detailed description of two cases where it was discovered in orthodontic patients is also reported.

Keywords: Allergies, Alloys, Nickel ions, Orthodontic material.

How to cite this article: Shukoor KM, Shaj F, Shabeer NN, Jayarajan J. Nickel Allergies in Orthodontic Treatment. Int J Prev Clin Dent Res 2016;3(2):143-146.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

Nickel (Ni)-containing alloys have become an integral part of almost every routine orthodontic intervention.¹ As known, contemporary orthodontics relies on various bonded attachments, archwires, and other devices to achieve tooth movement. The demands made on them are complex because they are placed under many stresses in the oral environment, which include immersion in saliva, ingested fluids, temperature fluctuations, and masticatory force. The orthodontic appliances, i.e., orthodontic bands, brackets, and archwires were introduced in 1930s. Since then, alloys have become an invaluable material in orthodontics, which are made of stainless steel containing 8 to 12% Ni, 17 to 22% chromium, and various proportions of manganese, copper, titanium, and iron.² These are extremely durable and relatively inexpensive. The combination of the alloys materials is in close proximity and in hostile conditions leading to

^{1,2,4}Reader, ³Senior Lecturer

^{1,2,4}Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics Azeezia College of Dental Sciences and Research, Kollam Kerala, India

³Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Sree Anjaneya Institute of Dental Sciences, Calicut, Kerala, India

Corresponding Author: KM Shukoor, Reader, Department of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics, Azeezia College of Dental Sciences and Research, Kollam, Kerala, India, Phone: +919447710101, e-mail: drshukoorkm@gmail.com corrosion and adverse reaction biologically and increase the friction mechanically. When using nickel–titanium (NiTi) archwire for dental orthodontic treatment, the possible danger associated with archwire corrosion derives from the biologically harmful effects due to the released Ni ion.³ Therefore, NiTi archwire with a good corrosion resistance is crucial to its biocompatibility. On the contrary, the surface corrosion of NiTi archwires may increase the friction that appears at the interface between the archwire and bracket, reducing the free sliding action during orthodontic treatment.⁴

IMMUNE RESPONSE

The response by the immune system to Ni is usually a type IV cell-mediated delayed hypersensitivity also called an allergic contact dermatitis. It is mediated by T-cells and monocytes/macrophages rather than antibodies and consists of two phases. The first phase, or sensitization, occurs when Ni initially enters the body. There is usually no response present at this time but the immune system is primed or sensitized for an allergic response. The major sensitization routes are Ni-containing jewelry and foods. Foods that are high in Ni include chocolate, soy beans, nuts, and oatmeal. A response, or the elicitation phase, is in the form of a contact mucositis or dermatitis that occurs during re-exposure to Ni and develops over a period of days or rarely up to 3 weeks. If Ni is leached from orthodontic appliances, this type IV hypersensitivity reaction can occur.⁵

EPIDEMIOLOGY

Nickel allergy occurs more frequently than allergy to all other metals combined. It is estimated that 11% of all women and 20% of women between the ages of 16 and 35 years have a sensitivity to Ni.⁶ The sensitivity of males is only 2%, likely due to the decreased contact of Ni from jewelry. Fortunately, most individuals who have Ni sensitivity do not report adverse clinical manifestations to orthodontic appliances containing Ni. It is estimated that the occurrence of a harmful response by patients to Ni is 0.1 to 0.2%.⁷ It is thought that a much greater concentration of Ni in the oral mucosa than the skin is necessary to elicit an allergic reaction.⁸ Furthermore, the incidence of an allergic response to stainless steel orthodontic brackets has not been reported; however, there have been some reported cases.⁹ Nickel leaching

KM Shukoor et al

of orthodontic bands, brackets, and stainless steel or NiTi archwires has been shown in vitro to maximally occur within the 1st week and then decline thereafter.¹⁰ This coincides with the approximate timeframe for type IV hypersensitivity reactions. Saliva or certain intraoral conditions, such as foods, oral hygiene products, and fluoride may potentially corrode the Ni in the alloy and release it onto the oral mucosa. Nickel-titanium orthodontic wires in combination with fluoride media have been shown to release significantly more Ni ions in artificial saliva.¹¹ Also, NiTi archwires, especially when they contain copper, have been shown to corrode in the presence of fluoride mouthwash. This has implications not only in the development of contact sensitivity reactions but also in decreased mechanical properties of the wire.¹² The amount of corrosion from different alloys, however, has not been clinically demonstrated. Factors including intraoral temperature, pH, salivary composition, duration of exposure, wear of the wire due to friction from sliding mechanics, abrasion, presence of solder, strain of the wire, and most importantly, the amount of Ni, i.e., leached are factors determining the concentration of Ni present from a particular appliance.¹³ Other factors predisposing patients to Ni allergy include genetics¹⁴ and the presence of certain major histocompatibility complex haplotypes.¹⁵ Nickel sensitivity has also been found to be higher in asthmatic patients.¹⁶

DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of Ni allergy has usually been based on patient history, clinical findings, genetic factors, and the results of patch testings. In the patch test, 5% Ni sulfate in petroleum jelly is used. Lesions due to mechanical irritation and allergies to other materials, such as acrylic should be ruled out.¹⁵

Extraoral

- Generalized urticaria
- Widespread eczema
- Flare up of allergic dermatitis
- Exacerbation of preexisting eczema.

Intraoral

- Stomatitis from mild to severe erythema
- Papulaperi oral rash
- Loss of metallic taste
- Numbness
- Burning sensation
- Soreness at side of the tongue
- Angular chelitis severe gingivitis in the absence of plaque.¹⁰

Possible Risks associated with Nickel Toxicity

The literature has shown many *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies documenting the corrosion of orthodontic appliances, and the release of metal ions are indisputable. It has been reported that the adjacent oral tissues take up metal ions.^{16,17}

Risk of Nephrotoxicity

Sunderman¹⁵ reported a patient with documented IgA nephropathy.

Risk of Cytotoxicity

Grimsdottir et al⁵ used the agar overlay cytotoxicity test with mouse fibroblast cells and reported that none of the archwires tested caused by cytotoxic effect. The study carried out by Hafez et al¹⁶ proved the cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of orthodontic appliances remained in the mouth for 6 months.

Risk of Carcinogenicity

Sunderman¹⁵ and Mastromatteo¹⁷ reported that Ni subsulfide, Ni oxide, and metallic Ni dust have been suspected to be the principal respiratory carcinogens.

Risk of DNA Damage

Several studies conducted by Faccioni et al,¹⁸ Hafez et al,¹⁶ and Fernández-Miñano et al¹⁹ suggested the DNA damage in buccal mucosal cells²⁰ and Heravi et al²¹ suggested that DNA damage induced by orthodontic appliance would repair in healthy individuals, but decrease in repair capacity or alterations in the immune system may allow the DNA damage to remain and expressed as genome alteration and DNA mutations. Older age, presence of systemic diseases, and risk factors, such as tobacco smoke may also aggravate the harmful effects of fixed appliances.²¹

The study by Das et al²² showed that Ni-ion leaching from appliances can also generate-free radicals, resulting in oxidative stress in cell and tissue level.

Risk of Immune Changes and Alveolar Bone Loss

Lamster et al²³ reviewed two cases of women who demonstrated significant alveolar bone loss around Ni-rich nonprecious alloy and porcelain crown. A type IV hypersensitivity reaction was observed which might have caused the loss of the alveolar bone.

Risk of Sensitivity

Nickel dermatitis could be seen of two types. First type of dermatitis is described as a reaction on the skin



characterized by itching or burning, popularly seen as erythemas in the web of the fingers, which would spread to the fingers, wrist, and forearms. A second type of Ni dermatitis was described as papulo-vesicular dermatitis with a tendency for lichenification.¹¹

Treatment

If intraoral signs and symptoms are present and a diagnosis of Ni hypersensitivity is established, the NiTi archwire should be removed and replaced with a stainless steel archwire which is low in Ni content or preferably a titanium molybdenum alloy (TMA), which does not contain Ni. Stainless steel is slightly less expensive than NiTi archwires, while TMA is slightly more. Resin-coated NiTi wires are also an option. These resin-coated wires have had their surface treated with nitrogen ions, which forms an amorphous surface layer. Manufacturers claim that this results in an increase in corrosion resistance and decreased amount of leaching of Ni, more so than both NiTi and stainless steel wires.²⁴ Most patients who develop a reaction to NiTi archwires subsequently tolerate stainless steel without a reaction.²⁵ This is believed to be a result of the Ni being tightly bound to the crystal lattice of the alloy, rendering them unable to be leached into the oral cavity. Stainless steel has been shown to release low amounts of Ni in artificial saliva or sweat which could help account for its low allergenicity.²⁶ In the rare event that the patient continues to manifest an allergic reaction, all stainless steel archwires and brackets should be removed. If any severe allergic reaction develops, the patient should be referred to a physician to be treated with antihistamines, anesthetics, or topical corticosteroids.²⁷ Attempts should be made to complete orthodontic treatment with TMA, fiber-reinforced composite, pure Ti, or gold-plated wires. The most commonly used orthodontic brackets that do not contain Ni include ceramic brackets produced using polycrystalline alumina, single-crystal sapphire, and zirconia. Other Ni-free alternative brackets include polycarbonate brackets made from plastic polymers, titanium brackets, and gold brackets. Another alternative for certain treatments is the use of plastic aligners, such as Invisalign[™].

REFERENCES

- 1. Eliades T, Athanasiou AE. *In vivo* aging of orthodontic alloys: implications for corrosion potential, nickel release, and biocompatibility. Angle Orthod 2002 Jun;72(3):222-237.
- Gürsoy S, Acar AG, Seşen C. Comparison of metal release from new and recycled bracket-archwire combinations. Angle Orthod 2005 Jan;75(1):92-94.
- Brantley WA, Eliades T. Orthodontic materials: scientific and clinical aspects. Stuttgart, Germany: Thieme; 2001. p. 77-104.

Nickel Allergies in Orthodontic Treatment

- El Medawar L, Rocher P, Hornez JC, Traisnel M, Breme J, Hildebrand HF. Electrochemical and cytocompatibility assessment of NiTiNOL memory shape alloy for orthodontic use. Biomol Eng 2002 Aug;19(2-6):153-160.
- Grimsdottir MR, Gjerdet NR, Hensten-Pettersen A. Composition and *in vitro* corrosion of orthodontic appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1992 Jun;101(6):525-532.
- Bengleil MS, Orfi JM, Abdelgader I. Evaluation of salivary nickel level during orthodontic treatment. Int J Med Pharm Sci Eng 2013;7(12):668-670.
- Menezes LM, Campos LC, Quintão CC, Bolognese AM. Hypersensitivity to metals in orthodontics. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2004 Jul;126(1):58-64.
- Van Loon LA, van Elsas PW, Bos JD, ten Harkel-Hagenaar HC, Krieg SR, Davidson CL. T-lymphocyte and Langerhans cell distribution in normal and allergically induced oral mucosa in contact with nickel-containing dental alloys. J Oral Pathol 1988 Mar;17(3):129-137.
- 9. Agarwal P, Upadhyay U, Tandon R, Kumar S. Nickel allergy and orthodontics. AJOHAS 2011;1(1):61-63.
- 10. Rahilly G, Price N. Current products and practice nickel allergy and orthodontics. J Orthod 2003;30(2):171-174.
- Kerosuo H, Kullaa A, Kerosuo E, Kanerva L, Hensten-Pettersen A. Nickel allergy in adolescents in relation to orthodontic treatment and piercing of ears. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1996 Feb;109(2):148-154.
- Bass JK, Fine H, Cisneros GJ. Nickel hypersensitivity in the orthodontic patient. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 1993 Mar;103(3):280-285.
- Bour H, Nicholas JF, Garrigue JL, Demidem A, Schmitt D. Establishment of nickel specific T cell lines from patients with allergic contact dermatitis comparisons of three different protocol. Clin Immunol Immunopathol 1994 Oct;73(1): 142-145.
- Marigo M, Nouer DF, Genelhu MC, Malaquias LC, Pizziolo VR, Costa AS, Martins-Filho OA, Alves-Oliveira LF. Evaluation of immunologic profile in patients with nickel sensitivity due to use of fixed orthodontic appliances. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2003 Jul;124(1):46-52.
- Sunderman FW. Metal carcinogenesis advances in modern toxicology. In: Toxicology of trace elements. Vol. 2. New York (NY): Halsted Press; 1977. p. 257-295.
- Hafez HS, Selim EM, Kamel Eid FH, Tawfik WA, Al-Ashkar EA, Mostafa YA. Cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and metal release in patients with fixed orthodontic appliances: a longitudinal *in-vivo* study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2011 Sep;140(3):298-308.
- 17. Mastromatteo E. Yant memorial lecture. Nickel. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 1986 Oct;47(10):589-601.
- Faccioni F, Franceschetti P, Cerpelloni M, Fracasso ME. In vivo study on metal release from fixed orthodontic appliances and DNA damage in oral mucosa cells. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2003 Dec;124(6):687-693.
- Fernández-Miñano E, Ortiz C, Vicente A, Calvo JL, Ortiz AJ. Metallic ion content and damage to the DNA in oral mucosa cells of children with fixed orthodontic appliances. Biometals 2011 Oct;24(5):935-941.
- Pereira BR, Tanaka OM, Lima AA, Guariza-Filho O, Maruo H, Camargo ES. Metal and ceramic bracket effects on human buccal mucosa epithelial cells. Angle Orthod 2009 Mar;79(2): 373-379.

- 21. Heravi F, Abbaszadegan MR, Merati M, Hasanzadeh N, Dadkhah E, Ahrari F. DNA damage in oral mucosa cells of patients with fixed orthodontic appliances. J Dent (Tehran) 2013 Nov;10(6):494-500.
- 22. Das KK, Das SN, Dhundasi SA. Nickel, its adverse health effects & oxidative stress. Indian J Med Res 2008 Oct;128(4): 412-425.
- 23. Lamster IB, Kalfus DI, Steigerwald PJ, Chasens AI. Rapid loss of alveolar bone associated with nonprecious alloy crowns in two patients with nickel hypersensitivity. J Periodontol 1987 Jul;58(7):486-492.
- 24. Kim H, Johnston J. Corrosion of stainless steel, nickel-titanium, coated nickel-titanium, and titanium orthodontic wire. Angle Orthod 1999 Feb;69(1):39-44.
- 25. Toms AP. The corrosion of orthodontic wire. Eur J Orthod 1988 May;10(2):87-97.
- Jensen CS, Lisby S, Baadsgaard O, Byrialsen K, Menné T. Release of nickel ions from stainless steel alloys used in dental braces and their patch test reactivity in nickel-sensitive individuals. Contact Dermatitis 2003 Jun;48(6):300-304.
- 27. Dou X, Liu LL, Zhu XJ. Nickel-elicited systemic contact dermatitis. Contact Dermatitis 2003 Mar;48(3):126-129.